

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/720,581		11/24/2003	Robert L. Stone	RAP04 P-649A	6087		
28101	7590	09/07/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
VAN DYK	E, GARI	DNER, LINN AND	BIDWELL,	BIDWELL, JAMES R			
2851 CHAR	LEVOIX	DRIVE, S.E.					
P.O. BOX 8	88695		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
GRAND RA	APIDS, M	II 49588-8695	3651				
•				DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	ation No. Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Comments	10/720,581		STONE, ROBERT L.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		James R. Bidwell		3651					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	November 2003							
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
	· <u> </u>								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
·	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>14-18</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) 19-26 is/are objected to.								
	☐ Claim(s) <u>19-26</u> is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
٥,۵	are subject to restriction and	or election requirem	nont.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/09/2004</u> .	5) [F	nterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/720,581

Art Unit: 3651

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art Figures 1-4 in view of Meyer (US 2001/0047922).

The prior art figures show everything except a tray position sensor that is spaced from the tray. Rather the prior art figures rely on a mechanical sensor. Meyer, however, shows sensors for determining is a tray is present to be filled by a chute. To use this type of sensor instead of a mechanical sensor would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as this type of sensor is usually more precise is determining an exact tray position.

Re claim 15, the sensor is operable to detect a distance at a station.

Re claim 16, electronic sensors are disclosed.

Re claim 17, the sensor is fixedly mounted.

Claim18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art figures in view of Meyer as applied to claims 14-17 above, and further in view of Gottlieb et al. (U.S. Patent 6,283,304).

The above combination does not have a sensor for detecting a fill level in a tray.

However, shown by Gottleib et al. is just such a sensor 22. To include a fill level sensor in a tray would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as one inherently would need to know when a tray is full.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,581

Art Unit: 3651

Claims 19-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-13 are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not show nor fairly suggest placing a sensor of the non-mechanical variety onto a movable chute which sensor is operable to detect a fill level in a tray at a station.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Bidwell whose telephone number is (571)272-6910.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene O. Crawford, can be reached on 571-272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/720,581 Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRB

08-29-2006

JAMES R. BIDWELL PRIMARY EXPANNER 8/24/06 GROUP